





Varieties of Vertical Disintegration:

The Global Trend Toward Heterogeneous Supply Relations and the Reproduction of Difference in US and German Manufacturing

Gary Herrigel & Volker Wittke Session 2, Part I Track E

Sloan Industry Studies Annual Meeting April 19-21, 2004 – Atlanta, GA







Research

- AMP
- Component producers and restructuring of supply chains
- Automobiles, Construction Machines, Ag Equipment, Industrial Machinery, Electrical Engineering
- US and Germany







Argument

- Supplier -- customer relations in manufacturing becoming more heterogeneous
 - **✓ Broad spectrum of types of relations**
 - ✓ Sustained Contingent Collaboration as modal relation







Two Steps

Changing dynamics in the purchasing strategies of large manufacturers.

Types of emerging OEM-supplier relations







Changing OEM purchasing dynamics

- Vertical disintegration-- a secular trend
 - ✓In current competitive environment, internal capabilities over taxed
 - **✓OEM's focus on core competences**
 - √ Take rest from suppliers







New Demands/Benchmarking

- New demands on suppliers
 - Provide know how
 - ✓ Produce at very high quality levels
 - ✓ Provide variety of services for customer (logistics; sub-assembly)
 - ✓ Constant cost reduction
- Constant benchmarking (terrain searching)
 - ✓ Survey the supply base in order to determine what can be gained from available pools of expertise







Contradictory dynamics

- Paradoxical Situation
 - **✓OEMs** more reliant on suppliers
 - **AND** more demanding
 - ✓ AND interested in surveying (and contracting with) supplier competitors
- Creates pressure for supplier concentration AND checks it







Disintegrating trend; but no uniformity

- OEM sourcing practices are not uniform.
 - ✓ Piecemeal disintegration—uncertainty about "internal" capabilities of OEM same customer not always the same customer
 - ✓ Retain some internal production capacity in non core areas to enhance own capacity to collaborate
 - ✓ Aggregate goals, individual contracts and contracting over-time
- Adds to complexity and ambiguity in supplier markets
- Multiple and changing strategic calculations in play







Types of emerging OEM-supplier relations

- Survey of types described in the literature + one new one
- Scale(s):
 - Division of labor between design and production
 - Separation <---> integration
 - ✓ Division of roles that customers and suppliers play in the relationship over time.
 - Designer, producer, designer-producer
 - Over time
- Not a deductive theory of subcontracting; an organization of the empirical literature and argument about modality







4 traditional types

- Arms-length/Spot Market relation
 - ✓ Supplier production/customer design
 - ✓ No collaboration
 - ✓ Capacity sub-contracting
- Autocratic or Captive Supplier relation
 - Supplier design and production/customer design and production
 - ✓ Non overlapping competences
 - ✓ Bilateral relation
- Contract Manufacturing
 - ✓ Supplier production/customer design
 - ✓ Collaboration
 - ✓ Electronics; Modularity in Autos?
- Collaborative Manufacturing
 - ✓ Supplier design and production/customer design and production
 - ✓ Non overlapping competences
 - ✓ Multiple relations







Our fifth type

- Sustained Contingent Collaboration
 - ✓ Only exists over time
 - ✓ Supplier/customer design and production
 - ✓ Overlapping competences
 - ✓ Role ambiguity







First 4 different than #5

First four types

- ✓ Always supplier production;
- Always clear role divisions between customer and supplier over time;
- ✓ Variation in supplier design and customer production contributions

■ Fifth type

- ✓ No stable division of labor between design and production over time
- ✓ No clear role division between customer and supplier over time
- ✓ Role ambiguity







SCC the modal relation

- All types can be found in current environment (heterogeneity)
- Last three most historically distinctive
- Sustained contingent collaboration most stable and modal relation







Transitional state?

Over-capacity and strategic uncertainty as dis-equilibrators

Heterogeneity could give way to "best practice" equilibrium

■ We doubt it







Endogenous drivers for SCC

- Permanent innovation and permanent cost reduction linked
 - Not an effect of over-capacity/ exacerbated by o-c
 - ✓ Internal reallocation/difficult choices
- Collaboration is costly:
 - Opportunity costs (rewarding relationships not had)
 - ✓ Search
- Complexity produces variety
 - √ Aggregate goals
 - ✓ Individual contracts
- Other historically distinctive types have tendency to dissolve into sustained contingent collaboration







Conclusions

- OEM purchasing strategies not uniform/ Multiple and changing strategic calculations in play
- Types of emerging OEM-supplier relations--SCC Modal type
- Could be permanent condition of heterogeneity
- Paper also looks at vertical disintegration & SCC in national context.